Abstract

Background

Empty nose syndrome (ENS) is a devastating complication of turbinate surgery. The management of ENS is challenging and the evidence base for most treatment modalities remains low. In the present study we propose a safe and effective surgical reconstruction treatment based on the use of Platelet Rich Plasma mixed with Adipose tissue (PRL). The PRL is a preparation rich in stem cells and growth factors, taken from the same patient, that has the potential capability to regenerate the volume of the turbinate and to restore the functionality of the mucosa.

Methodology

46 patients randomly divided in two groups: one group treated with PRL and the other one with medical treatment alone. The aim of the study was to compare the safety and efficacy of the PRL for the treatment of ENS in comparison with medical treatment alone.

Results

Both procedures had no collateral effects but only patients treated with PRL showed a statistically significant improvement (p<0.05) in the subjective nasal symptoms and the endoscopic nasal objectivity after surgery.

Conclusions

Turbinate reconstruction with PRL is a safe, simple and effective procedure characterized by a very low invasiveness with easy availability to autologous biological tissue and no collateral effects.
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Introduction

Surgery for turbinate hypertrophy is very common and represents the eighth most frequent procedure employed in the otolaryngological field [1]. Over years numerous surgery techniques for the treatment of inferior turbinate hypertrophy have been proposed, in which the principle problem was to increase the nasal airflow preserving the functions of the mucosal lining, location of important protective activity and of pharmaceutical drug absorption useful in the long term postoperative treatment of submucosal membrane inflammation (turbinectomy, submucosal membrane extraction with or without debrider, cryocoagulation, receptors determines the perception of the passage of air from the nose) [9,10]. Various world specialists have tried to identify a reconstructive surgical technique capable of improving the symptoms of ENS, with encouraging yet partial results; Rice and Di Rienzo Businco with the use of hyaluronic acid [11,12], Yong with inferolateral endonasal cartilage implants [13], and Papay with his fibromuscular temporalis graft implantation [14], but these techniques reveal problems with the reabsorption of the substance used in reconstruction over time. Those problems have been overcome by Jiang with Medpor’s implants which is resolute regarding the volume loss but with scarce effectiveness on the recovery of mucosal functionality [15] and by Modrzński with submucosal mono or bipolar electrocoagulation, Laser CO and diode, injections of hydroxyapatite on the turbinate and septum [16], radiofrequencies, coblator, molecular quantum resonance) [1,2]. Before the diffusion of turbinate shrinkage mini-invasive techniques without thermic damage, many of the former techniques (in particular those using high temperatures with old generation radiofrequencies and those extremely demolitive ones with scissors with partial or complete amputations of the turbinate, though they guaranteed an apparent increase of the nasal airflow and a reduction of air resistance to rhinomanometry) were accompanied by a loss of nasal sensiveness and by the paradoxical reduction of the perception of the air passage with the damage of the mucosal nervous receptors of intranasal anatomy and of the mucosa itself, and by the production of aerial vortices with secondary atrophic rhinitis leading to real ‘Empty nose’ syndromes (ENS) with crusting, bleeding and synechiae, with a strong negative impact on the quality of the patient’s life [1,3,4]. ENS, described for the first time by Kern and Stenkvist in 1994, is a rare and highly debilitating pathology, and fortunately not all patients subjected to demolitive surgical intervention on turbinates (inferior or middle) develop this syndrome [5,6]. However, when ENS occurs (this may happen after months or years from demolitive surgery), its symptoms strongly reduce the quality of life, and they can be summarized in: intranasal mucosal dryness, paradoxical nasal breathing obstruction (notwithstanding the large intranasal airspace), facial pains, cephalae, crusting and altered nasal discharge, with a variability of clinical manifestations which differ according to the quality of life, and they can be summarized in: intranasal mucosal dryness, paradoxical nasal breathing obstruction (notwithstanding the large intranasal airspace), facial pains, cephalae, crusting and altered nasal discharge, with a variability of clinical manifestations which differ according to

In these cases of iatrogenic damage with ENS and secondary atrophic rhinitis, the medical therapy (antihistamines, steroids, specific nasal immunotherapy, nasal wash solutions.) prove themselves invariably insufficient to resolve the symptoms of nasal obstruction and inflammations of the patient, with the quality of life considerably reduced and with few possibilities on the doctor’s part to improve the local nasal clinical history casefile [8,9]. Even the usual examination tools employed for the evaluation of nasal patency (rhinomanometry, acoustic rhinometry, peak nasal inspiratory flow) are unable to correlate with the clinical symptoms of patients as they do not investigate the physiological mechanisms of the subjective perception of the intranasal airflow (the activation of TRPM8 receptors determines the perception of the passage of air from the nose) [9,10]. Various world specialists have tried to identify a reconstructive surgical technique capable of improving the symptoms of ENS, with encouraging yet partial results; Rice and Di Rienzo Businco with the use of hyaluronic acid [11,12], Yong with inferolateral endonasal cartilage implants [13], and Papay with his fibromuscular temporalis graft implantation [14], but these techniques reveal problems with the reabsorption of the substance used in reconstruction over time. Those problems have been overcome by Jiang with Medpor’s implants which is resolute regarding the volume loss but with scarce effectiveness on the recovery of mucosal functionality [15] and by Modrzński with submucosal mono or bipolar electrocoagulation, Laser CO and diode, injections of hydroxyapatite on the turbinate and septum [16], radiofrequencies, coblator, molecular quantum resonance) [1,2]. Before the diffusion of turbinate shrinkage mini-invasive techniques without thermic damage, many of the former techniques (in particular those using high temperatures with old generation radiofrequencies and those extremely demolitive ones with scissors with partial or complete amputations of the turbinate, though they guaranteed an apparent increase of the nasal airflow and a reduction of air resistance to rhinomanometry) were accompanied by a loss of nasal sensiveness and by the paradoxical reduction of the perception of the air passage with the damage of the mucosal nervous receptors of intranasal anatomy and of the mucosa itself, and by the production of aerial vortices with secondary atrophic rhinitis leading to real ‘Empty nose’ syndromes (ENS) with crusting, bleeding and synechiae, with a strong negative impact on the quality of the patient’s life [1,3,4]. ENS, described for the first time by Kern and Stenkvist in 1994, is a rare and highly debilitating pathology, and fortunately not all patients subjected to demolitive surgical intervention on turbinates (inferior or middle) develop this syndrome [5,6]. However, when ENS occurs (this may happen after months or years from demolitive surgery), its symptoms strongly reduce the quality of life, and they can be summarized in: intranasal mucosal dryness, paradoxical nasal breathing obstruction (notwithstanding the large intranasal airspace), facial pains, cephalae, crusting and altered nasal discharge, with a variability of clinical manifestations which differ according to
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the second (group B) with the same medical therapy to which was added an endoscopic treatment with PRL on inferior turbinate regions previously amputated.

**Materials and Methods**

For the study, 46 patients (39 male) with an age of above 18 years were enrolled consecutively (table 1), following a complete ORL evaluation with physical clinical examination, endoscopy, ConeBeam CT scan of paranasal sinuses, allergy evaluation and SNOT-22 questionnaire, undergoing more than 3 years of treatment in other centers, to turbinectomy or electrocauterization operations of the inferior turbinates owing to their hypertrophy with consequent ENS results (ENS-IT according to the Houser classification) [5]. The ENS diagnosis was supported by the Houser test, which consisted in positioning a pledget soaked in a saline solution in the nasal cavity of patients for 20-30 minutes, revealing their subjective improvement from obstructive symptoms [5]. The criteria for patient inclusion in the study were: failure in every precedent medical test carried out, obstructive nasal symptoms to the VAS greater than 5 (min. 0 – max. 10), and documented resection of the inferior turbinates for a surface equal to or greater than 50% of the endoscopic examination and CT. For the evaluation of damage from inferior turbinate resections our compartmental turbinate classification was utilized so as to objectively quantify the location and the amputated section (Figure 1 and 2) [1].

**Study Design**

The patients were assigned alternately to two groups, A and B (A: checkup, medical therapy only; B: medical therapy and surgical reconstruction treatment) with each containing 23 patients. The assigning of patients to be subjected to treatment A or B was obtained by a random sequence of computer generated numbers. The medical treatment was based on the administration of an intranasal spray with a solution of salt-bromine-iodine thermal water (3 spurts per nostril 3 times daily) together with the nightly application of a nasal unguent based on vitamins (vitamins E, A, D-panthenol). Group B patients, before medical therapy, were subjected to an endoscopic reconstruction of inferior turbinates with PRP mixed with autologous fat (PRL). Both groups were requested to note every and any collateral effect that presented itself during the course of the study.

**Preparation of Prp**

The preparation process consisted of 3 phases: hemal extraction, centrifugation to obtain a concentrated platelet and activation [26]. Following hemal extraction from a peripheral vein, some sodium citrate as an anticoagulant was added to the blood (system of RegenLab, Le Mon-sur-Lausanne, Switzerland). The method of manual PRP preparation consists in a centrifugation of 1500 rpm for a total of 10 minutes which allowed the platelet to remain in suspension with the plasma while the leucocytes and erythrocytes settled on the bottom of the test tube. After the centrifugation the platelet and leucocyte buffy coat were extracted with 9ml of plasma [21]. Calcium chloride was added to the PRP as thus obtained to activate the platelet and stimulate the secretions of growth factors with emiocytosis of alpha granules.

**Preparation of Fat**

The purified fat was obtained after the transumbilical extraction with lipoaspiration microtubes (1.5mm in diameter) via centrifugation for 3 minutes at 3000 rpm (Coleman’s technique) and inserted aseptically into a syringe of 1ml mixed with PRP. This procedure allowed a purified fat preserving the adipocytes in their entirety to be obtained, while separating the fluid components from those serosanguineous [24,25].
**Clinical Evaluation**

At the beginning of the study (T0), every patient was requested to indicate the seriousness of subjective nasal symptoms on a VAS scale (0 min. -10 max.) (nasal obstruction, nasal discharge, sneezing, itching, pain). All patients were required to complete the SNOT-22 questionnaire before and after the treatment and the results were confirmed with regards the five most important questions. All patients underwent a basal anterior rhinomanometry (AAR) to evaluate their nasal resistance (Rhinomanometer Labat srl, Treviso, Italy) during the day. In accordance with the International Committee on Standardization of Rhinomanometry, the nasal airflow resistance was measured using a standard pressure (150 Pa) and the total nasal resistance was calculated by rhinometric monolateral registrations [27]. The AAR measuring was not carried out in the case the patient was affected by a common acute cold or a nasal allergy crisis, postponing the measuring to the end of the acute phase. The AAR measuring was performed on a seated patient after a 15-minute period of room acclimatization, in standard conditions of temperature and humidity. Each patient was assigned a rhinoendoscopic score with a 1-4 increasing gravity after at least one month of abstinence from medical therapy, carried out at the beginning and at the end of the study based on the evaluation (performed by the same examiner) of the volume of the nasal crusting in relation to the respiratory obstacle (from 1: flat crusting on the mucosal surface, minimally obstructing the respiratory lumen, to 4: bridge crusting between the nasal wall and completely obstructing septum). In order to obtain a functional piece of data on the nasal mucosal state in both groups under study, the Mucociliary Transport Time (MCTt) was calculated, before and after the treatment. All patients were subjected to MCTt nasal evaluation, using a vegetable carbon powder and saccharin mixture of 3%. The MCTt was calculated as the time interval between the moment in which the powder was positioned on the head of the inferior turbinate (anterior compartment) up to when a stripe of the same powder appeared in the oropharynx during the direct pharyngoscopic examination [28]. The clearance time for saccharin was instead calculated taking the end of the test into consideration when the patient detected a sweet taste in the mouth. All evaluations and tests were repeated and compared with those basal ones after 12 months of treatment for both groups in the study. It was possible after more than 1 year of treatment in 3 patients from group B, to carry out a biopsy for histologic examinations of the region of the turbinate reconstructed with PRL in the course of other operations carried out for different reasons other than those of the nose. The sections of the turbinate mucosa of 5µm were prepared according to standard procedure after the inclusion of paraffin and after being stained with hematoxylin-eosin.

**Statistical Analysis**

The value P (Student test, with statistical significance for p<0.05) was utilized for all subjective and objective parameters. The statistical analysis was undertaken with SPSS (software package for statistical analysis) version 17.0 (Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

The study included 46 patients aged between 32-67 (table 1, 2). The medical therapy did not determine any collateral effects in any of the patients from either group in the study. Patients from group B did not report pain during or after the procedure, with the exception made for few sporadic cases of nasal burns and minimal discharge mixed with blood after nose-blowing, for which paracetamol when required (500mg tablets) was prescribed in the postoperative period without any adverse consequences reported. In particular, no cases of epistaxis, nor any general or local complications in the nasal sites treated with PRL (synechiae, crusting formation) were found. The area of umbilical fat removal was healed without residue and the stitching (nylon 5-0) was removed in 5-7 postoperative days. With regards the subjective nasal symptoms and the endoscopic nasal objectivity, when compared with the after treatment, a statistically significant improvement in group B (p<0.05) was noted (table 3). Concerning the objective rhinometric evaluation when compared to post-treatment, a trend similar to what had been observed in subjective nasal symptoms was noted, with an improvement in favour of group B that had been treated with PRL (p<0.05) (table 4). The comparative results between the two groups A and B of MCTt have shown a statistically notable variation revealing a greater efficacy of the treatment with PRL compared with that sole medical one in the improvement in the mucociliary function (table 4). The comparison between groups A and B before and after treatment according to the SNOT-22 questionnaire with regard to the most important 5 questions, showed an improvement for both groups under study but with more favourable efficacy.
results for group B (table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (n=23)</th>
<th>Group B (n=23)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>39.3 ±2.02</td>
<td>42.5 ±2.08</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male 18(82.6%)</td>
<td>Male 20(86.9%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female 5(17.4%)</td>
<td>Female 3(13.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Patients demographics data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A (n=23)</th>
<th>B (n=23)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nasal obstruction (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>9.19 ± 0.75</td>
<td>9.43 ± 0.71</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itch</td>
<td>7.19 ± 1.15</td>
<td>8.11 ± 0.98</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinorrhea</td>
<td>9.32 ± 1.10</td>
<td>9.45 ± 0.93</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sneezing</td>
<td>7.21 ± 1.12</td>
<td>7.50 ± 1.21</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>7.91 ± 1.11</td>
<td>7.60 ± 1.31</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinic/Rhinoendoscopic Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A (n=23)</th>
<th>B (n=23)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3(13.1%)</td>
<td>3(13.2%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9(39.1%)</td>
<td>10(43.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11(47.8%)</td>
<td>10(43.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison between VAS and rhinoendoscopic score before treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control A (n=23)</th>
<th>Treatment B (n=23)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nasal obstruction (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>7.15 ± 0.65</td>
<td>4.49 ± 0.61</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itch</td>
<td>6.11 ± 1.10</td>
<td>5.10 ± 0.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinorrhea</td>
<td>7.82 ± 0.60</td>
<td>5.35 ± 0.43</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sneezing</td>
<td>6.10 ± 1.11</td>
<td>5.20 ± 1.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>6.11 ± 1.10</td>
<td>4.90 ± 1.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinic/Rhinoendoscopic Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gr A</th>
<th>Gr B</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAR</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.04 Pa/cc3/sec</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.05 Pa/cc3/sec</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCTt</td>
<td>20.9 ± 2 min</td>
<td>21.45 ± 2 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gr A</th>
<th>Gr B</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAR</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.06 Pa/cc3/sec</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.03 Pa/cc3/sec</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCTt</td>
<td>17.56 ± 2 min</td>
<td>14.5 ± 2 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-treatment (difference between groups <0.05)

Table 3. Comparison of the results after treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gr A</th>
<th>Gr B</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 most important questions (mean)</td>
<td>Gr A 24.2</td>
<td>Gr B 23.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gr A</th>
<th>Gr B</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 most important questions (mean)</td>
<td>Gr A 16.2</td>
<td>Gr B 10.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-treatment (difference between groups <0.05)

Table 5. Comparison of SNOT-22 for the 5 most important questions pre an post-treatment (mean).

Histologic Evaluations

According to the results of previous histologic experiences of the efficacy of PRP in animal and human studies, in the samples of our examined patients we have observed a satisfactory reconstruction of the mucosa and submucosa of the turbinate.
after 12 months from the treatment with PRL compared with the preoperative checkup (Figure 3) [29,30]. Particularly the almost complete reepithelialization of the mucosal surface of the turbinate and the reduction of the inflammatory part of the submucosa have been observed in the areas subjected to a reconstruction with PRL.

**Figure 3.** Turbinates pre and after treatment with PRL.

### Discussion

The results allow us to conclude a greater efficacy of both medical therapy and infiltrative treatment with PRL, compared to the sole medical therapy in order to check the signs and symptoms of ENS-IT with the subtotal amputation of the inferior turbinates. With regards the nasal symptoms VAS evaluated, a greater efficacy has been shown in the checkup of the group of patients following treatment B. In particular the patients who received the treatment with PRL showed better objective parameters (RAA, endoscopic score) and with the SNOT-22, when compared to the group following the sole medical therapy. The improvement (at RAA) of group B, appears to be due to the smaller quantity of intranasal crusting and consequently better air canalization in the patients treated with PRL. The results of the evaluation of MCTt document an improvement of the function of the mucosal surfaces of the turbinate after the reconstruction with PRL, which is very notable in a category of patients affected by ENS where the damage of the mucociliary clearance together with the mucosal atrophy represents the main invalidating pathogenic moment of the quality of life owing to the continuous formation and crusting stasis in the nasal cavity. In our experience, the association of PRP with adipose cells (PRL) has resulted in being one of the key points to the efficacy of the reconstructive treatment in terms of restoring functionality, since both the mixed components together contributed to the recovery both of the volume and the specific-site functionalities of the damaged or amputated nasal regions. It is possible to hypothesize that on the basis of the favourable results obtained there is a restoration of regional neovascularization where there has been a volumetric site-specific increase, which together with the regenerative powers of platelet GF have led to an objective and symptomatological improvement [31-33]. The surgical technique also showed itself to be extremely simple both rhinosurgically and for the extraction of the periumbilical fat, but above all, in accordance with previously published literature, without the collateral effects [34] and discomfort for the patient. The surgical approach we have described, with endoscopic technique and compartmental evaluation of the treated turbinate undersurface, allows a greater homogeneity of the classification of ENS-IT damage, together with a better evaluation of the obtained results after a certain period, with the presupposed essential sharing of clinical data among different centers and in order to guarantee the reproducibility of the methodology. Such a repair operation has been characterized by a very low invasiveness with a rapid postoperative period (day surgery) with easy availability to autologous biological tissue without the necessity of using other tissue from other anatomic sites as reported by other authors using different methodologies (nasal mucosa, muscular band, osteo-cartilaginous flaps, etc), and, above all, with no collateral effects. The basis of this regenerative surgery is represented by 3 elements: growth factors contained in a platelet gel, stem cells taken from adipose tissue (mixed with the PRP to obtain the PRL) and the biomaterials of synthesis (hyaluronic acid, collagen). The hematostatic capacity of platelets and their complex action mechanism (more than 300 proteins) is well-known, but only recently, owing to the progress of molecular biology could we minutely understand the different mechanisms which induced growth factors. Once activated, platelets release the growth factors contained in the alpha granules which are able to perform specific functions in the cell regeneration and in the development of the tissue where they have been liberated. In fact, the GF (growth factors) proteins are contained inside the platelets, factors of growth implicated in the regeneration of the tissue which have suffered damage. The PRP contains different typologies
of GF (isomers of the platelet GF transforming GF β1 and β2, GF insulin α and β, vascular endothelial GF) able to promote bone regeneration and to induce the differentiation of pluripotent cells. The GFs act as activation signals to attract clones of stem cells to the damage site and are contemporarily able to induce their proliferation. The action of GF on the osteoblasts is, for example, able to induce mitosis and to stimulate the migration of the mesenchymal cell progenitors. A notable aspect for its practical implication, is how the chemotactic and mitogenic stimulus of PRP on mesenchymal stem cells is able to determine the best reconstitution and regeneration of the damaged tissue in a directly proportional way with the platelet concentration (dose-dependent efficacy) [18,29,30,23]. The clinical effects of the PRP [35,36] on the implanted tissue can be summarized in a biostimulation with:

- cellular proliferation
- bioreparative and regenerative processes
- angiogenesis and revascularization of tissue
- proliferation of mesenchymal cells
- production of fibroblasts
- production of collagen

The clinical experience in the field of regenerative nasal surgery has shown a greater efficacy in the processes of the mucosal regeneration and its functionality with the activation of cellular proliferation and gain of volume. In conclusion, regenerative surgery in the nasal districts aims towards the more promising possibility of mini-invasive solutions of many problems linked to the defective functionality of the nose, particularly after previous demolitive operations (ENS or atrophic rhinitis), but also for the excessive use of inhaled stupefacent substances (cocaine) thanks to the capacity of the new mixture to help in rebuilding both the shape and the function of damaged anatomic areas. Our studies are evaluating possible further functional improvements in ENS after repeated sittings of infiltrations of PRL in the same treated undersurface areas from 6 and 12 months from the first infiltration [37] and the stability during the time of the results obtained.

**Conclusions**

The reconstruction with PRL of the inferior turbinates, associated with the topical medical therapies of washing and of using an emollient, has proved better able in a statistically notable way to improve the subjective nasal symptoms and objective rhinoendoscopic observations in a group of patients affected by ENS, particularly noting an improvement in the quality of the patient’s life concerning the nasal complaints measured by using SNOT-22.

**Disclosure Information**

The authors state to have no actual or potential conflict of interest in relation to this paper. They didn't receive funds (grants, consulted fees, honorarium, travel rembursements, medicines, equipment, or administrative support) from a third party to support the work (such as government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor).

**References**


11. Modrzynski M. Hyaluronic acid gel in the treatment of emp-


