



Original Article

The Growth Performance, Feed Efficiency and Body Composition of Juvenile Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) feed by Caterpillars (*Imbrasia truncate* Aurivillius, 1908) Meal in Replacement of Fish Meal

Ngalya Benge Nathalie^{1*}, Monsengo Mabruki Franco¹, Malongola Wandonge Jean de Dieu³, Kankonda Busanga Alidor², Saidi Petronella⁴ and Nhiwatiwa Tamuka⁴

¹Department of Animal Sciences, Faculty Institute of Agronomic Sciences of Yangambi (IFA-Yangambi) P.O. Box 1232 Kisangani, DRC

²Department of Hydrobiology, University of Kisangani P.O. Box 2012 Kisangani, RD Congo

³Option Water and forest, Faculty Institute of Agronomic Sciences of Yangambi (IFA-Yangambi) P.O. Box 1232 Kisangani, DRC

⁴Department of Biological Sciences, University of Zimbabwe P.O. Box MP167, Mt. Pleasant, Harare, Zimbabwe

*Corresponding author: Ngalya Benge Nathalie, Department of Animal Sciences, Faculty Institute of Agronomic Sciences of Yangambi (IFA-Yangambi) P.O. Box 1232 Kisangani, DRC, Democratic Republic of the Congo; E-mail: nathngalya@gmail.com

Received Date: 04-17-2019

Accepted Date: 04-27-2019

Published Date: 05-02-2019

Copyright: © 2019 Ngalya Benge Nathalie

Abstract

Effects of caterpillar (*Imbrasia truncate* Aurivillius, 1908) meal as a protein source in the diet of *Oreochromis niloticus* were investigated on growth performance, feed efficiency, whole body mineral composition and the cost/benefit analysis. The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized design with duplicate observations (3 treatments × 2 replicates × 35 fish per hapa-in-earthen pond systems) during ten weeks of duration using an open system. Water temperature and dissolved oxygen ranged respectively between 27.7 to 28°C and 4.5 to 6.0 mg l⁻¹. The Nile tilapia (12.67 ± 1.88 g initial body weight and 10.45 ± 0.03 cm initial length) were fed three times a day with three calculated isonitrogenous and isoenergetic diets prepared by replacing fishmeal with caterpillar meal at 15% and 30%. The diets were coded T₁ and T₂ respectively. A control diet without caterpillar meal was coded T₀. The fish fed with T₁ and T₀ diets were superior in specific growth rate (p<0.05) when compared with fish fed with diet T₂ treatment. On the other hand, the feed utilization parameter: feed conversion ratio (FCR), did not show significant differences (p>0.05) between the fish in the control group and diet T₁, although a significant difference between T₁ and T₂ treatments was observed. Results of body composition in response to

dietary treatments showed no significant statistical difference ($p>0.05$) for moisture, fiber and minerals in contrast to protein, lipid and ash content where the difference was significant ($p<0.05$). The highest profit index (1.82) was recorded on diet T₂. The lowest profit index was in the control but the differences were not significant ($p>0.05$). These results indicate that incorporation of less than 30% of caterpillar meal as a substitute for fishmeal could be feasible in the diet of Nile tilapia. Further studies are recommended for other fish species such as catfish, and the conditions to optimize the level of that ingredient to improve growth performance.

Keywords: Growth; Feed efficiency; Body composition; *Oreochromis niloticus*; Caterpillar meal

Introduction

Due to the increase in world population there is need for high production of fish to supplement the catch from the wild. Meanwhile under intensive and semi-intensive aquaculture regimes, feed constitutes the largest proportion of the overall costs, often ranging from 30% to 60% of the total variable expenses, depending on the intensity of the culture operation [1]. Therefore, the success of commercial aquaculture operations depends mainly on the availability of suitable diets, which provide required nutrients for optimum growth at minimal cost [2]. High-quality fish meals constitute the major fraction of the protein supplied in commercial fish feeds. For this reason, fishmeal and fish oil prices have been increasing as their availability has been decreasing. Consequently, that has stimulated research interest to seek alternative protein source for feeds in aquaculture. The utilization of non-conventional protein supplements of both animal and plants origin in aquaculture has been the focal point of research in the world in recent times. Many studies have evaluated the inclusion of various ingredients in aquafeeds [3-16] with varying results on their effects on growth, survival and yield on fish. Unlike plant meal, which has anti-nutritional factors and the potential to cause inflammation in the digestive tracts of fish, insects (which are rich in amino acids, lipids, vitamins and minerals) are part of the natural diet of freshwater and marine fish. Insects also have a smaller ecological footprint than protein-rich plants, since there is no need for arable land, and require low energy and water for production [17]. Al-

ternative protein sources of comparable value are therefore urgently needed. Thus, the potential of insect-based protein in animal feed diets has attracted much attention [18, 19].

Mealworms, silkworm pupae and maggots are the most promising species for feed because they are valuable sources of protein (50-71% dry matter) and lipids (30%) [20,21] and the animals show good digestion and growth, even compared to fishmeal in some cases, but replacing fishmeal entirely with insect feed is usually not successful because of dietary imbalances [22]. However, no studies have been undertaken on the use of caterpillar's meal in fish diet. In the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) where commercial feeds are unavailable, caterpillars are widely distributed in several regions and are cheaper than fishmeal but very rich in protein. Dried caterpillars of 23 species (including 17 Saturniidae) were analyzed, with samples prepared in a manner identical to that which precedes their culinary preparation [23]. The crude protein content averaged 63.5%; kilocalories per 100 g averaged 457 (ranging up to 543); and most species proved an excellent source of iron, with 100 g averaging 35% of the recommended daily requirement [23]. Kondondi et al., analyzed three species of Saturniids for vitamins and conducted feeding trials with rates that showed that vitamins supplied by the caterpillars [24], except for B1 and B6, are sufficient to allow proper growth. When sold in markets as dried food, they are also an important source of income [25]. They are often dried for use later in the year as food.

The high local availability of more than 12 species of caterpillars in Kisangani region (DRC) presents an opportunity to use them as fish feed ingredients especially in regions where good fish meal is not available or is very expensive in inland regions [26]. The use of caterpillar meal in aquaculture could add value to this important but often neglected protein source [27]. Indeed, replacement of fish meal by less expensive protein sources in diets for fish culture is becoming important not only to improve the economy of production by reducing feed costs, but also to provide viable and low-cost scientific based feeding information to fish farmers for improved production using resources available in their local settings [28]. That will promote other ingredients and contribute to the sustainable management of natural resources by increasing the number of ingredients

that can be used in fish feeding. The Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) was selected as an experimental animal for this study because it is one of the most cultured fish species in Africa. It also easily reproduces in captivity, without any hormonal stimulation and is adaptable to a wide variety of water conditions. Under constant photothermal conditions (26-28°C and 14 h light/10 h dark photoperiod), females spawn regularly throughout the year [29]. Therefore, the great challenge for searching for unconventional feed sources is a necessity to make tilapia production more economically feasible [30]. In view of the importance of developing low cost feed, this study was undertaken to assess the dietary effects of the partially or completely fishmeal replacement by caterpillar meal as major protein sources in the formulated diet for Nile tilapia (*O. niloticus*). The study focused on growth performance, feed efficiency, whole body composition and the cost/benefit analysis of using caterpillar meal as a fishmeal substitute.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Design

Experimental fish, *Oreochromis niloticus*, (initial body weight: 12.67 ± 1.88 g) were collected from the nursery pond and were kept in hapa nets (3.42 m²) for an adaptation period of 2 weeks. During acclimatization period, the fish were fed the mixed experimental feeds containing 325 g/ kg protein at the rate of 5% of body weight per ration. The hapa nets were then placed in ponds (300 m²) in which lime (CaCO₃) had been applied at the rate of 125 kg/ha. The level of water fluctuated about 0.6 m during the experiment. The experiment was set up in a completely randomized design. The feeding trials were conducted in 6 rectangular hapa nets. Water was supplied by a stream without being recirculated. The individual length and weight of 30 fish were measured and recorded at the beginning and the end (per hapa) of experimentation. The total length of fish (L) was measured to the nearest centimeter from the tip of the mouth to the tip of the caudal fin using a graduated ruler. Thirty-five juveniles (initial mean weight: 12.67 ± 1.88 g) were stocked in each hapa net in to a pond, water supplied at a flow rate of 30-35 L min⁻¹. Replicate hapa nets were used for each dietary treatment. The water quality parameters like temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conduc-

tivity and saturation were measured in each hapa, at the point of supply and drainage of the pond once a week with multi-meter (HACHHQ40D). The parameters remained within the acceptable range reported for the rearing of Tilapia [31], which is one of the most important fresh-water fish because of their capabilities to tolerate a wide range of environmental factors and stress conditions [32]. Water quality parameters were not significantly different between the experimental hapa nets.

Experimental Diets

Three isonitrogenous (32.5%) and isoenergetic (16.5 kJ/g) diets were formulated for *Oreochromis niloticus* juveniles as shown in Table 1. The cost of each diet was also determined. The diets were formulated using locally available diets ingredients. The diet in which fishmeal was used as the sole source of protein was designated diet T₀ (control). Caterpillar meal combined with fishmeal was designated diet T₁, and the diet in which Caterpillar meal was used as the sole source of protein was designated diet T₂. Diets were prepared by mixing the dry milled feed ingredients with the addition of boiling water until a desirable paste-like consistency was reached. This paste was manually divided in small sizes and sun-dried at about 27-33°C and preserved in a plastic bag until it was to be used.

Table 1: Formulation of three experimental diets (T₀ (control)=fishmeal; T₁=caterpillar+fishmeal; T₂=caterpillar meal).

Ingredients	Protein level (%)	Gross energy (kJ/g)	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂
FM	66.75	20.3	300	150	0
SBM	45.3	19.8	70	90	90
PM	56.4		100	90	100
CM	61	19.33	0	150	300
CsM	2.7	17.3	270	190	180
MM	9	17	150	220	220
PKC	20.6	20	100	100	100
NaCl			10	10	10

N.B. Fish Meal (FM), Soya Bean Meal (SBM), Peanut Meal (PM), Caterpillar Meal (CsM), Cassava Meal (CM), Maize Meal (MM), Palm Kernel Cake (PKC).

Table 2: Proximate composition, calculated nutrients and cost of three experimental diets (T_0 (control)=fishmeal; T_1 =caterpillar+fishmeal; T_2 =caterpillar meal).

Proximate composition	T_0	T_1	T_2
Calculate Crude protein (% dry matter)	32.97	32.87	32.54
Calculate Gross energy (kJ/g)	16.7	16.75	16.44
Cost of diets per kg (US\$)	0.85	0.72	0.58
Chemical analysis (g kg⁻¹ DM)			
Dry matter (DM)	85.57 ± 0.6	86.75 ± 2.02	87.96 ± 0.32
Crude protein (CP)	20.18 ± 0.49 ^a	24.06 ± 0.72 ^b	26.65 ± 0.67 ^b
Crude lipid (CL)	10.28 ± 0.84	9.24 ± 0.64	11.47 ± 0.33
Fiber content (%)	1.57	1.92	1.82 ± 0.01
Ash	10.92 ± 6.96	12.79 ± 2.67	3.69 ± 2.21
NFE	42.62	38.74	44.33
Gross energy (kJ g ⁻¹)	18.93	18.63	20.86
Minerals			
Ca (%)	7	7	7.4
Fe (mg/Kg)	232 ± 2	230 ± 1	227
K(%)	0.47± 0.01	0.41± 0.01	0.41
Mg (%)	0.13.	0.13	0.12.
Na (%)	0.51± 0.02.	0.49	3.49 ± 2 .6
P (%)	4.20 ± 0.01	3.95 ± 0.01	3.99 ± 0.01

NFE: nitrogen-free extract=100-(%) (Moisture content+crude protein+crude lipid+ash+fiber).

Determination of Diet and Fish Body Chemical Compositions

The tested diets and whole-fish body from each treatment were analyzed according to the standard methods of AOAC [62] for moisture, protein, fat, ash and minerals (Fe, Ca, Mg, K, Na, P). Moisture content was estimated by drying the samples to constant weight at 105°C in a drying oven and nitrogen content using a micro Kjeldahl apparatus. Crude protein was estimated by multiplying nitrogen content by 6.25. Lipid content was determined by ether extraction in a multi-unit Soxhlet extraction apparatus for 6 hours according to Bligh and Dyer [33]. Ash was determined by combusting dry samples in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 6 h. Chemical analyses were done in triplicates, and the values were reported on % dry matter basis. Gross energy values were calculated based on 23.64, 39.54 and 17.57 (KJ g⁻¹) for protein, lipid, and carbohydrate, respectively (NRC 2011). Mineral composition was analyzed using Philips PU9200X atomic absorption spectrophotometer after dry ashing (550°C during 4 h) and nitric acid (1.4 N) digestion [34].

Experimental Procedures

Feeding rate and frequency

The juvenile fish were handfed daily rations of 5% of their body weight for ten weeks, three times daily (0800 hrs, 1200 hrs; 1700 hrs). After 2 weeks, the ration was adjusted after determination of weight gain. The weight of the fish was measured and recorded every two weeks using a sensitive weighing balance. With the same frequency, the total numbers of survivors in each hapa net were counted and fish biomass determined. Any dead fish were removed immediately. After 70 days, the total number and biomass of survivors in each hapa net were recorded.

Growth Performance and Feed Efficiency

The effects of diets on fish growth were determined by calculating the following growth and nutrient utilization indices: weight gain (WG), specific growth rate (SGR), condition factor (K), survival ratio (SR), feed conversion ratio (FCR) and protein efficiency ratio (PER). The following formulas were used:

$$\text{SGR \%} = \frac{\text{Ln mean final body weight} - \text{Ln mean initial body weight}}{\text{Time}} \times 100 \dots$$

$$K = 100 \times \left(\frac{\text{Weight}}{\text{Length}^3} \right)$$

Feed conversion ratio (FCR): This refers to the weight gain in fish due to increase in feed taken.

$$\text{FCR \%} = \frac{\text{Fish feed given}}{\text{Body weight gain}} \dots$$

$$\text{Protein efficiency ratio (PER)} = \frac{\text{body weight gain}}{\text{protein intake}}$$

Where protein intake per fish is the total feed given multiplied by the % crude protein in feed, Effiong et al., (2009) were calculated at the end of the experiment.

$$\text{Survival rate \% (SR)} = \frac{\text{Number of initial fish} - \text{Number of harvested fish}}{\text{Number of initial fish}} \times 100$$

Body composition analysis

Before the onset of the experiment, a sample of 5 fish was taken randomly for initial whole-body composition analysis. At the end of the feeding trial, five fish randomly taken from each hapa net (n=10 fish/treatment) were stored in plastic bags, and frozen (-20° C) for final whole-body composition analysis.

Feed cost determination

The following economic indicators were used to determine the cost of different feed formulations according to Bahnasawy et al., (2003).

$$\text{Incidence cost} = \frac{\text{cost of feed}}{\text{quantity of fish produced (Kg)}}$$

$$\text{Profit index} = \frac{\text{local market value of fish}}{\text{Cost of feed}}$$

The underlying assumption is that all operating costs are constant and the cost of ingredient was the only variable cost.

Statistical analysis

All data were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) at a 95% confidence limit using SPSS software, version 12 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Where the F-ratio was significant (i.e. $p < 0.05$), treatment means were separated using Duncan's multiple test. The results were considered statistically significant when p-values were less than 0.05.

Results

Growth performance

The summary of the growth performance parameters is shown in Table 3. The highest final mean weight, weight gain, and SGR were obtained with diets T_0 and T_1 but growth response declined with diet T_2 where caterpillar protein exceeded 15%. There were no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) between diets T_0 and T_1 on all growth performance parameters. Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were observed between diets T_0 and T_2 and also between diets T_1 and T_2 with respect to growth performance parameters (final mean weight, weight gain, and SGR). The same trend was recorded with condition factor (K) where T_2 value was significantly lower than T_0 and T_1 . Diet T_2 recorded the highest survival rate, but there were no significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in survival rates among the diets.

Feed utilization

The results of feed utilization in the form of the feed conversion ratio (FCR) and the protein efficiency ratio (PER) are also represented in Table 3. The best value for the FCR (4.53) was recorded on fish fed with diet T_1 whereas the highest PER value of 1.03 was recorded on fish fed with diet T_0 . However, there were no significant differences between these diets with respect to these parameters. The highest FCR (10.63) value and the lowest PER (0.36) value were recorded with diet T_2 . Diet T_2 was significantly different ($p < 0.05$) from diets T_0 and T_1 .

Table 3: Growth performance, feed utilization parameters and economic analysis of *O. niloticus* fed the experimental diets T₀ (control)=fishmeal; T₁=caterpillar+fishmeal; T₂=caterpillar meal).

	Diets		
	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂
Initial weight (g)	12.79 ± 0.33	11.78 ± 0.12	12.83 ± 0.74
Final weight (g)	29.73 ± 0.3 ^a	27.36 ± 0.54 ^a	18.66 ± 0.113 ^b
Weight gain (g)	15.27 ± 0.7 ^a	14.68 ± 0.39 ^a	5.06 ± 0.82 ^b
SGR (%/day)	1.20 ± 0.02 ^a	1.20 ± 0.03 ^a	0.54 ± 0.04 ^b
K	1.54 ± 0.01 ^a	1.56 ± 0.04 ^a	1.26 ± 0.11 ^b
Feed Intake (g/fish/day)	1.05 ± 0.01 ^a	0.95 ± 0.03 ^a	0.76 ± 0.04 ^b
FCR	4.81 ± 0.16 ^b	4.53 ± 0.04 ^b	10.63 ± 1.19 ^a
PER	1.03 ± 0.03 ^b	0.92 ± 0.01 ^b	0.36 ± 0.04 ^a
SR (%)	88.57 ± 4.04	92.86 ± 2.02	94.29
Incidence cost	4.09 ^a	3.26 ^a	6.17 ^b
Profit Index	1.22 ^a	1.53 ^a	1.82 ^b

N.B. Values in the table represent are means ± standard deviation. Values in each row with the same superscript are not significantly different from each other (p>0.05).

Fish carcass composition

The summary of the whole carcass composition of the Nile tilapia fed with different test diets is presented in Table 4. All the diets had an average of about 75% moisture content and there were no significant differences among fish taking different test diets. The protein content for fish from diet T₂ (54.75%) was the highest among the diets, while fish on diet T₀ (46.68%), had the lowest protein content. There were significant differences (p<0.05) between diets T₀ and T₁ on protein content. The highest whole carcass lipid level was observed in fish fed with diet, T₀ (20.95%), which

was significantly greater (p<0.05) than the lipid content of the fish on diet T₂ (17.92%). Although the ash content varied with different experimental diets. The ash content of fish fed with diet T₀ was the highest (3.85), whilst the fish fed with diet T₂ recorded the lowest percentage of whole carcass ash (2.81). There were no significant differences (p>0.05) among the experimental diets with respect to this parameter. Diet T₂ recorded the highest values of minerals carcass composition, but there were no significant differences among the diets (p>0.05).

Table 4: Body composition of *O. niloticus* fed the experimental diets T₀ (control)=fishmeal; T₁=caterpillar+fishmeal; T₂=caterpillar meal).

Proximate composition	Initial	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂
Moisture (%)	73.76 ± 2.1	74.43 ± 0.23	75.47 ± 1.64	75.7 ± 0.04
Protein (%)	62.84 ± 0.73 ^a	46.68 ± 1.81 ^b	52.39 ± 0.77 ^b	54.75 ± 4.31 ^{ab}
Lipid (%)	1.94 ± 0.98 ^a	20.95 ± 4.87 ^b	18.28 ± 3.15 ^b	17.92 ± 5.90 ^c
Fiber (%)	1.93 ± 0.01	1.95 ± 0.04	1.86 ± 0.01	1.87 ± 0.05
Ash content (%)	5.95 ^a	3.85 ± 0.80 ^b	3.70 ± 1.26 ^b	2.81 ± 0.15 ^b
Minerals (g/100g)				
Ca	67 ± 0.1	65.31 ± 0.02	64.73 ± 0.01	66.23 ± 0.03
Fe	22.867 ± 1.53	5.78 ± 0.02	5.44 ± 0.01	5.91 ± 0.01
K	420 ± 0.1	391.66 ± 0.02	390.25 ± 0.03	392.7 ± 0.01
Mg	13 ± 0.01	27.33 ± 0.02	26.25 ± 0.02	28.1 ± 0.01
Na	47 ± 0.01	25.8 ± 0.01	22.88 ± 0.01	26.15 ± 0.04

N.B. Values in the table represent mean ± SE. Values in each row with the same superscript are not significantly different from each other (p>0.05).

Economic Analysis of Diets

The economic analysis experiment showed that diet T_1 was more profitable than diets T_0 and T_2 and it also has a lower incidence cost compared to the two others diets (Table 5).

Table 5: Economic analysis of experimental diets (T_0 (control)=fishmeal; T_1 =caterpillar+fishmeal; T_2 =caterpillar meal).

Parameter	T_0	T_1	T_2
Incidence cost	4.09	3.26	6.17
Profit Index	1.22	1.53	1.82

Discussion and Conclusion

This study constitutes the first investigation on the potentialities of replacing fish meal with caterpillar meal in practical diets for Tilapia, *O. niloticus*. Water quality parameters measured in the present study were within the optimum ranges required for survival and growth of *O. niloticus*. The main water quality parameters for optimal growth and survival of *O. niloticus* are temperature ranging from 25.0 to 30.0°C; dissolved oxygen 4.0-8.0 mg/L; and pH 6.5-9.0 [35]. Although pH was slightly low (6.0 to 6.2), the levels recorded are not worrisome because *O. niloticus* can successfully survive, grow and tolerate a pH range of between 4.00 and 11.00 [32,36]. Therefore, any variation in fish growth performance or feed efficiency in the present study could not be attributed to water-quality effects. No significant differences were found between treatments T_0 and T_1 with respect to growth performance, feed utilization parameters and economic analysis. Diet T_2 was significantly different from diet T_0 and T_1 on growth performance, feed utilization and economic analysis. The comparability in growth performance, survival rates and condition factors between *O. niloticus* fed with diets T_0 and T_1 is attributed to the relatively similar nutrient composition of these two diets when compared to diet T_2 . Diet T_0 had protein of higher biological value and a high content of lipid whereas diet T_1 had comparatively higher protein proportion. This differs from most of the studies that have used insects as part of fish diet ingredients [15, 26 and 37].

The SGR obtained in the present study for diets T_0 and T_1 are in conformity with the results reported by Abdel-Tawwab et al., [31] and Younis et al., [16] who used diets containing 35% and 32 % crude protein respectively to fed

Nile tilapia (*O. niloticus*) for a duration of 12 weeks. Imorou et al. (2007) [38] also reported similar results for Juvenile *Clarias gariepinus* fed with a diet containing 34% crude protein for 10 weeks at a density of 6 fish m^{-3} . Specific Growth Rates for diets T_0 and T_1 were comparatively higher than that of diet T_2 . This was attributed to the better feed consumption and nutrient digestibility of diets T_0 and T_1 which contained a proportion of fish meal when compared to diet T_2 which had only caterpillar meal. In this study, the specific growth rate of *O. niloticus* decreased with higher caterpillar meal inclusion levels. This is in agreement with most previous studies where insect meals replaced fishmeal. In most of these studies, growth of fish declined at 25% fishmeal replacement [39,7], the probable reason of the decline in fish growth at high insect meal replacement levels has been attributed to chitin. Chitin is not hydrolyzed in the intestinal tract of most fish because of the absence of the relevant enzyme, chitinase. So, the probable high level of chitin in T_2 could have a negative effect on nutrient digestibility. The decreased specific growth rate can also be explained by the dietary amino acid imbalances [40] and high fiber level limiting nutrient bioavailability [41].

Increase in fiber content could impair the transit time of intestinal contents and thus reduce the protein and energy digestibility [42, 43 and 7]. However, the fiber content in the present study was not significantly different among our diets but it was comparatively lower than the values obtained from the following studies [44-46]. Furthermore, the lower level of SGR in tilapia from the present study, suggests that all diets had an imbalance in amino acid level. Data from the present study showed that survival rates (SR) were not significantly different in all the treatments. The percentage survival rates for *O. niloticus* fed with diets T_0 and T_1 were 88.57% and 92.86% respectively. Similar results were also reported by Charo-Karisa et al., [47] who recorded survival rates of 87.7% and 89.3% for *O. niloticus* fed on a 40% protein pelleted diets in hapas. The results of the present study are also in conformity with the study by Imotou et al., [4] who recorded survival rates of 85.7-92.4% for juvenile Vundu catfish in tanks fed with a diet containing 34 % crude protein. The survival rates obtained in this study are higher than those reported by Ogello et al., (2017) [44]; who recorded survival rates of (70.4 to 87.4%). However, survival rates obtained in the present

study were comparatively lower than the values obtained by Imorou et al., [38] who recorded a 100% survival rate for juvenile *Clarias gariepinus* fed with a diet containing 34% crude protein. The higher SR recorded in the present study could be attributed to the absence of stressful factors during the experimental period.

The values of the condition factor “K” recorded in the present study for T_0 and T_1 are 1.54 and 1.56 respectively. The results are conformity with the study by Limbu et al., [28] who recorded the K values of 1.85 and 1.89 for *O. niloticus* fed with mixed ingredients (MI) and rice bran alone (RB), respectively. Opiyo et al., [48] also recorded the condition factor values of 1.69-1.70 for *O. niloticus* fed on a commercial diet. The length-weight relationship is an important tool that provides information on growth patterns of fishes [49]. The fact that the mean condition coefficient (K) was above 1 (1.45) suggests good fish health condition and further confirms an isometric growth pattern, which is desirable on fish farms [50,51]. The feed intake and feed conversion efficiency obtained for diets T_0 and T_1 are statistically comparable, but significantly different from diet T_2 . However, all our values were higher than the values obtained by Abdel-Tawwab et al., [31] but the feed intake was comparable with the values reported by Rapatsa and Moyo [15]. The FCR value for diet T_1 (4.53) obtained in the present study is much higher than the value reported by Ogello et al., [44] for *O. niloticus* fed with a diet containing 25% sunflower seed meal as a replacement for fish meal.

The FCR value for diet T_1 is also much higher than the value recorded by Younis et al., [16] for *O. niloticus* fed with a diet supplemented with red algae, *Gracilaria arcuate*. The FCR results showed that the consumption of our diets was higher than the growth of fish; it suggests very bad feed utilization. The differences in FCR values from the present study and the aforementioned studies may be attributed to the differences in feed sources (such as the complexity of carbohydrate source), environmental conditions and the particular strain of the species used Hemre et al., and Guimaraes et al., [52,53]. In addition, the lack of the incorporation of fish or vegetable oil, minerals, and vitamin premixes to improve the nutritional quality of our diets can also explain the poor FCR recorded in this study (NRC, 2011) [54]. Proximate body composition means the determination of the water, protein, fat and ash content of the fish and this

is considered as a good indicator of its physiological condition and health [55]. Fish fed with diets T_0 and T_1 had a lower proximate protein composition than fish fed with diet T_2 . However, fish fed with diets T_0 and T_1 had an increased PER when compared to fish fed with diet T_2 . The increased PER in fish fed with diets T_0 and T_1 can be due to the fact that fishmeal has a higher biological value and a well-balanced amino acid profile [55]. That can also be explained by the fact that diet T_2 had only caterpillar and plant proteins. Drew et al., (2007) [56] pointed out that, digestion of plant materials by fish resulted in lower PER because most plant materials had lower crude protein levels and are used as sources of energy in the form of carbohydrates. The PER obtained in this study are lower than the values reported by Younis et al., [16] who fed juvenile Nile tilapia with red algae, *Gracilaria arcuate* instead of fishmeal. Rapatsa and Moyo [15] also reported a higher PER than those reported in the present study when they substituted fish meal with 10, 20, 40 and 60 % mopane worm (*Imbrasia belina*) meal on *O. mossambicus* (PER=2.53, 2.54, 2.74 and 2.80).

There were no significant differences in the carcass content of Nile tilapia among the experimental diets with respect to ash content, moisture content and minerals. In the present study, fish fed with diet T_0 accumulated higher lipid content in the body. The lipid content in fish fed with diet T_2 was lower when compared to fish fed with diets T_0 and diet T_1 . The results of fish lipid content reported in this study were generally in accordance with the findings of Younis et al., (2017) [16]. The values of minerals recorded in the present study were lower than the values reported by Imorou et al., [4]. This was most likely because no mineral premix was added in our experimental diets. In general, the reduced growth performance and body minerals composition of tilapia in the present study can be attributed to the fact that efficient utilization of diets may vary even within a single species because of the particular strain of fish, the environmental factors and season [53]. Oil, minerals, and vitamin premixes have to be added to improve the nutritional quality of the experimental diets. The economic analysis of this study indicated that there is a potential for higher economic returns when diet T_1 is used to feed *O. niloticus* instead of diet T_0 , considering the fact that, fish meal is not only expensive but it is also becoming less available [57-66]. The present study suggests that, diet T_1 can act as a

good replacement for diet T_0 . Reducing the quantity of fish-meal incorporated in fish feed will result in the increased economic return. Hence further researches must be done in different conditions.

In conclusion, it has been shown that the caterpillar meal could be incorporated into Nile tilapia diets instead of fish meal by less than 30%. The preliminary economic analysis suggests that more profit may be realized when T_1 is used. This study is a preliminary study, future studies are needed in order to optimize the level of caterpillar meal in the diets of Nile tilapia. Optimizing the level of caterpillar meal may result in improved growth performance. Hence the authors recommend further studies on the digestibility of the experimental diets, as this was beyond the scope of the current study.

Acknowledgement

This study is part of the second author's Ph.D. Ngalya B. is a Ph.D. grant holder from the OWSD (Organization for Women in Science for the Developing World) and SIDA (Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency) for a sandwich program between University of Kisangani, Republic Democratic of Congo and the University of Zimbabwe. We would like to thank also everybody who provided help for successfully conducting the experiment.

References

1. Charo-Karisa H, Opiyo MA, Munguti JM, et al. Cost-benefit analysis and growth effects of pelleted and unpelleted on-farm feed on African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus* Burchell 1822) in earthen ponds. *African J Food Agri Nutri Development* 2013; 13(4): 8019-8033.
2. Mohapatra SB and Patra AK. Effect of Partial Replacement of Fishmeal with Duck Weed (*Lemna minor*) feed on the Growth Performance of *Cyprinus carpio* Fry. *IOSR-JAVS* 2013; 4: 34-37.
3. Begum NN, Chakraborty SC, Zaher M, et al. Replacement of Fishmeal by Low-Cost Animal Protein as a Quality Fish Feed Ingredient for Indian Major Carp, *Labeo rohita*, Fingerlings. *Journal of Science Food and Agriculture* 1994; 64(2): 191 - 197.
4. Imorou T, Fiogbe ED and Kestemont P. Growth, feed efficiency and body mineral composition of juvenile *Vendua* (*Heterobranchus longifilis*, Valenciennes 1840) in relation of various dietary levels of soybean or Cotton seed meals. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 2008; 14: 193-203.
5. Ninya-Wamwiza L, Wathélet B, Richir J, et al. Partial or total replacement of fish meal by local agricultural by-products in diets of juvenile African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*): growth performance, feed efficiency and digestibility. *Aquaculture-Nutrition* 2010; 16(3): 237-247.
6. Luo Z, Liu CX and Wen H. Effect of dietary fish meal replacement by canola meal on growth performance and hepatic intermediary metabolism of genetically improved farmed tilapia strain of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, reared in fresh water. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 2012; 43(5): 670-678.
7. Alegbeleye WO, Obasa SO, Olude OO, et al. Preliminary evaluation of the nutritive value of the variegated grasshopper (*Zonocerus variegatus* L.) for African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell 1822) fingerlings. *Aquacult. Research* 2012; 43(3): 412-420.
8. DeAzevedo RV, Tonini WCT and Braga LGT. Palm oil and palm kernel cake in diets for juvenile Nile tilapia *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, Brasília 2013; 48(8): 1028-1034.
9. Souza RC, Melo JFB, Nogueira F, et al. Influence of mango meal on growth and body composition of Nile Tilapia. *Archivos de Zootecnia* 2013; 62(238): 217-225.
10. Stadlander T, Khalil WKB, Focken U, et al. Effects of low and medium levels of red alga *Nori* (*Porphyra yezoensis* Ueda) in diets on growth, feed utilization and metabolism in intensively fed Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). *Aquaculture Nutrition* 2013; 19: 64-73.
11. El-Asely AM, Abbass AA and Austin B. Honey bee pollen improves growth, immunity and protection of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) against infection with *Aeromonas hydrophila*. & Shellfish. *Immunology* 2014; 40(2): 500-506.
12. Thongprajukaew K, Rodjaroen S, Yoonram K, et al. Effects of dietary modified palm kernel meal on growth,

-
- feed utilization, radical scavenging activity, carcass composition and muscle quality in sex reversed Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture* 2015; 439: 45-52.
13. Khalifa NSA, Belal IEH, El-Tarabily KA, et al. Evaluation of replacing fish meal with corn protein concentrate in Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* fingerlings commercial diet. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 2017; 24(1): 143-152.
 14. Monsengo MF, Ngalya BN, Shabani EI, et al. Effets des aliments à base des ingrédients locaux sur la survie et sur la croissance des larves de *Clarias gariepinus* dans la région de Kisangani, République Démocratique du Congo. *International Journal of Innovation and Scientific Research* 2017; 30(2): 149-158.
 15. Rapatsa MM and Moyo NAG. Evaluation of *Imbrasia belina* meal as a fishmeal substitute in *Oreochromis mossambicus* diets: Growth performance, histological analysis and enzyme activity. *Aquaculture Reports* 2017; 5(4): 18-26.
 16. Younis El-SM, Al-Quffail AS, Al-Asgah NA, et al. Effect of dietary fish meal replacement by red algae, *Gracilaria arcuata*, on growth performance and body composition of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences* 2018; 25(2): 198-203.
 17. Seungju M and JiWoong L. Current views on insect feed and its future. *Entomological Research* 2015; 45(6): 283-285.
 18. Nugroho RA and Nur FM. Insect-based protein: future promising protein source for fish cultured. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth and Environmental Science* 2018; 144(1): 12-20.
 19. Adeniyi OV and Folorunsho CY. Performance of *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822) 2015.
 20. Wei MC and Liu GQ. The research and exploitation of insect protein. *Journal of Central South Forestry University* 2001; 21: 86-90.
 21. Rumpold BA and Schluter OK. Potential and challenges of insects as an innovative source for food and feed production. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*: IFSET the Official Scientific Journal of the European Federation of Food Science and Technology 2013; 17(2): 1-11.
 22. Henry M, Gasco L, Piccolo G, et al. Review on the use of insects in the diet of farmed fish: past and future. *Animal. Feed. Science and Technology* 2015; 203: 1-22.
 23. DeFoliart GR. Insects as food: Why the Western Attitude Is Important. *Annual Review of Entomology* 1999; 44: 21-50.
 24. Kondondi KK, Leclercq M and Gaudin-Harding F. Vitamin estimations of three edible species of *Attacidae* caterpillars from Zaire. *International Journal for Vitamin and Nutrition Research* 1987; 57(3): 333-334.
 25. Lisingo J, Wetsi JL and Ntahobavuka H. Enquête sur les chenilles comestibles et les divers usages de leurs plantes hôtes dans les districts de Kisangani et de la Tshopo (R.D.Congo). *International journal of tropical geology, geography and ecology* 2010; 34: 139-146.
 26. Okangola E, Solomo E, Tchatchambe WB, et al. Valeurs nutritionnelles des chenilles comestibles de la ville de Kisangani et ses environs (Province de la Tshopo, République Démocratique du Congo) *International Journal of Innovation and Scientific Research* 2016; 25(1): 278-286.
 27. Diomande M, Koko AC and Kouame KB. Propriétés physico-chimiques et fonctionnelles des farines de chenilles (*Imbrasia oyemensis*) et de poisson (*Thunnus albacares*). *International Journal of Innovation and Scientific Research* 2017; 31(1): 117-127.
 28. Limbu SM, Shoko AP, Lamtane HA, et al. Supplemental effects of mixed ingredients and rice bran on the growth performance, survival and yield of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* reared in fertilized earthen ponds. *Springer Plus* 2016; 5: 5.
 29. Gennotte V, Sawadogo P, Milla S, et al. Cortisol is responsible for positive and negative effects in the ovarian maturation induced by the exposure to acute stressors in Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Fish physiology Biochemistry* 2012; 38(6): 1619-1626.
-

-
30. Fitzsimmons K. Prospect and potential for global production. In C. Lim, & C. D. Webster (Eds.), *Tilapia biology, culture and nutrition* Binghamton, USA: Food Product Press 2006; 51-72.
 31. Abdel-Tawwab M, Hagraas AE, Elbaghdady HAM, et al. Effects of dissolved oxygen and fish size on Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.): growth performance, wholebody composition, and innate immunity. *Aquacult International* 2015; 23: 1261-1274.
 32. El-Sayed AFM. *Tilapia Culture*. CABI publishing, CABI International, UK, Willingford 2006.
 33. Bligh EG and Dyer WJ. A rapid method for total lipid extraction and purification. *Can. Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology* 1959; 37: 911-917.
 34. Wolf A, Watson M and Wolf N. Digestion and dissolution methods for P, K, Ca, Mg and trace elements. In: *Recommended Methods of manure Analysis (A3769)* (Peters, J. ed) 2003; 30-47.
 35. Shahabuddin A, Oo M, Yi Y, et al. Study about the effect of rice straw mat on water quality parameters, plankton production and mitigation of clay turbidity in earthen fish ponds. *World Journal of Fish Marine Science* 2012; 4(6): 577-585.
 36. Bombardella RA, dos Reis Goesb ES, de Negreiros SM, et al. Growth and reproduction of female Nile tilapia fed diets containing different levels of protein and energy. *Aquaculture* 2017; 479(1): 817-823.
 37. Foua Bi, Meite A, Dally T, et al. Étude de la qualité biochimique et nutritionnelle de la poudre séchée d'*Embrasaioyemensis*, chenilles consommées au Centre-Ouest de la Côte d'Ivoire. *Journal of Applied. Bioscience* 2015; 96: 9039-9048.
 38. Imorou T, Fiogbe ED, Koukpode B, et al. Rearing of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) and Vundu catfish (*Heterobranchus longifilis*) in traditional fish ponds (whedos): Effect of stocking density on growth, production and body composition. *Aquaculture* 2007; 262(1): 65-72.
 39. Sanchez-Muros M, Barroso FG and Manzano-Agugliaro F. Insect meal as renewable source of food for animal feeding: a review. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 2014; 65: 16-27.
 40. Gan L, Zhou LL, Li XX, et al. Dietary leucine requirement of Juvenile Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 2016; 24(1): 315-323.
 41. Novoa- Olvera M, Olivera-Castillo L and Martínez-Palacios CA. Sunflower seed meal as a protein source in diets for *Tilapia rendalli* (Boulanger, 1896) fingerlings. *Aquaculture Research* 2002; 33(3): 223-229.
 42. Shiau SY. Role of fiber in fish feed. *Progress in fish nutrition*. In: *Proceedings of the Fish Nutrition Symposium* (Shiau, S.Y. ed), Marine Food Sciences Series No. 98, National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung, Taiwan 1989; 93-119.
 43. Hertrampf JW and Piedad-Pascual F. *Handbook on Ingredients for Aquaculture Feeds*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, the Netherland 2000.
 44. Ogello EO, Kembenyaa EM, Githukiaa CM, et al. Substitution of fish meal with sunflower seed meal in diets for Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) reared in earthen ponds. *Journal of applied aquaculture* 2017; 29(1): 81-99.
 45. Ngugi CC, Oyoo-Okoth E, Manyala JO, et al. Characterization of the nutritional quality of amaranth leaf protein concentrates and suitability of fish meal replacement in Nile tilapia feeds. *Aquaculture Reports* 2017; 5: 62-69.
 46. Koch JFA, Barros MM, Teixeira CP, et al. Protein-to-energy ration of 21.43g MJ⁻¹ improves growth performance of Nile tilapia at the final rearing stage under commercially intensive rearing conditions. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 2017; 23(3): 560-570.
 47. Charo-Karisa H, Komen H, Reynolds S, et al. Genetic and environmental factors affecting growth of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) juveniles: modelling spatial correlations between hapas. *Aquaculture* 2006; 255(1-4): 586-596.
-

-
48. Opiyo MA, Munguti JM, Ogello EO, et al. Growth response, survival and profitability of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed at different feeding frequencies in fertilized earthen ponds. *International Journal of Scientific Research* 2014; 3(9): 893-898.
 49. Ighwela KA, Ahmed AB and Abol-Munafi AB. Condition factor as an indicator of growth and feeding intensity of Nile tilapia fingerlings (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed on different levels of maltose. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Science* 2011; 11(4): 559-563.
 50. Ayode AA. Length-weight relationship and diet of African carp *Labeo ogunensis* (Boulenger, 1910) in Asejire Lake Southwestern Nigeria. *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 2011; 6(4): 472-478.
 51. Kembenya EM, Munguti JM and Ogello EO. The length-weight relationship and condition factor of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) broodstock at Kegati Aquaculture Research Station, Kisii, Kenya. *International Journal of Advanced Research* 2014; 2(5): 777-782.
 52. Hemre GI, Mommsen TP and Krogh A. Carbohydrates for fish nutrition: effects on growth, glucose metabolism and hepatic enzymes. *Aquaculture. Nutrition* 2002; 8(3): 175-194.
 53. Guimaraes IG, Pezzato LE, Barros MM, et al. Nutrient digestibility of cereal grain products and by-products in extruded diets for Nile tilapia. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 2008; 39(6): 781-789.
 54. NRC (National Research Council). *Nutrients requirement for fish and shrimp*. Animal Nutrition Series, National Research Council of the National Academies. Washington DC, USA: The National Academies Press 2011: 392.
 55. Saliu JK, Joy O and Catherine O. Condition factor, fat and protein content of five fish species in Lekki Lagoon. *Nigeria Life Sciences Journal* 2004; 4: 54-57.
 56. Drew MD, Borgeson TL and Thiessen DL. A review of processing of feed ingredients to enhance diet digestibility in finfish. *Animal. Feed Science. Technology* 2011; 138(2): 118-136.
 57. Abdel-Tawwab M and Abbass FE. Dry whey meal as a protein source in practical diets for Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* fingerlings, *Journal of Applied Aquaculture* 2016; 28 (4): 276-284.
 58. Abdel-Tawwab M, Ahmad MH, Khattab YAE, et al. Effect of dietary protein level, initial body weight, and their interaction on the growth, feed utilization, and physiological alterations of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.) *Aquaculture* 2010; 298(3): 267-274.
 59. Abou Y, Aina MP, Fiogbé ED, et al. Growth and fatty acid composition of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* L. fed-Azolla-diets, in tanks and in earthen ponds: a comparative study. *Natural Science* 2013; 5(1): 77-83
 60. Al Hafedh YS. Effects of dietary protein on growth and body composition of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* L. *Aquaculture Research* 1999; 30: 385-393.
 61. AOAC. *Official Methods of Analysis*. 18th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC 2005.
 62. Bahnasawy MH, Abdel-Baky TE and Gamal A. Growth performance of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings raised in an earthen pond. *Archives of Polish Fisheries* 2003; 11: 277-285.
 63. Effiong BN, Sanni A and Fakunle JO. Effect of partial replacement of fishmeal with duckweed (*Lemna paucicostata*) meal on the growth performance of *Heterobranchus longifilis* fingerlings. *Report Opin* 2009; 1(3): 76-81.
 64. García-Gallego M, Akharbach H and De La Higuera M. Use of protein sources alternative to fish meal in diets with amino acids supplementation for the European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*). *Animal Science* 1998; 66: 285-292.
 65. Robinson EH, Li MH and Manning BB. How a catfish reaches commercial weight is key to composition. *Catfish Journal* 2003; 18(3): 12.
 66. Wijaya BT, Darti I and Widodo A. Fish growth model with feed quality factor in wastewater oxidation pond. *International Journal of Science and Technology* 2015; 4(3): 93-98.
-